

Federal Communications Commission Washington, D.C. 20554

APR 1 6 1998

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

RECEIVED

WINE CHECKET WARREN OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

The Honorable Don Nickles United States Senate 133 Hart Senate Office Building Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Nickles:

Thank you for your inquiry dated January 6, 1998, on behalf of your constituent David Chesher, Community Enhancement Director, Yukon, Oklahoma, concerning the placement and construction of facilities for the provision of personal wireless services and radio and television broadcast services in his community. Your constituent's letter refers to issues being considered in three proceedings that are pending before the Commission. In MM Docket No. 97-182 the Commission has sought comments on a Petition for Further Notice of Proposed Rule Making filed by the National Association of Broadcasters and the Association for Maximum Service Television. In this proceeding, the petitioners ask the Commission to adopt a rule limiting the exercise of State and local zoning authority with respect to broadcast transmission facilities in order to facilitate the rapid build-out of digital television facilities, as required by the Commission's rules to fulfill Congress' mandate. In WT Docket No. 97-192, the Commission has sought comment on proposed procedures for reviewing requests for relief from State and local regulations that are alleged to impermissibly regulate the siting of personal wireless service facilities based on the environmental effects of radio frequency emissions, and related matters. Finally, in DA 96-2140 and FCC 97-264, the Commission twice sought comments on a Petition for Declaratory Ruling filed by the Cellular Telecommunications Industry Association seeking relief from certain State and local moratoria that have been imposed on the siting of commercial mobile radio service facilities.

Because all of these proceedings are still pending, we cannot comment on the merits of the issues at this time. However, I can assure you that the Commission is committed to providing a full opportunity for all interested parties to participate. The Commission has formally sought public comment in all three proceedings and, as a result, has received numerous comments from State and local governments, service providers, and the public at large. Your letter, your constituent's letter, and this response will be placed in the record of all three proceedings and will be given full consideration.

> No. of Copies rec'd_ MABCDE

At the same time, the Commission is actively pursuing initiatives that we hope will render any Commission action limiting State and local authority unnecessary. Commission staff, working with the Commission's Local and State Government Advisory Committee, is bringing together representatives of industry and municipal governments to discuss mutually acceptable solutions to the challenges posed by facilities siting. Chairman Kennard has stated that preemption of local zoning authority should be a remedy of last resort, and that the Commission should not consider preemption until the possibilities for constructive dialogue have been exhausted.

Further information regarding the Commission's policies toward personal wireless service facilities siting, including many of the comments in the two proceedings involving personal wireless service facilities, is available on the Commission's internet site at http://www.fcc.gov/wtb/siting.

Thank you for your inquiry.

Sincerely,

share orther

Steven E. Weingarten
Chief, Commercial Wireless Division
Wireless Telecommunications Bureau

cc: CWD
Dockets (2)
John Conwell
j:\congress\9800811

DON NICKLES
OKLAHOMA

United States Sonato

WASHINGTON, DC 20510-3602

COMMITTEES

FINANCE

ENERGY AND NATURAL RESOURCES

BUDGET

GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS

RULES AND ADMINISTRATION

January 6, 1998

811

Respectfully referred to

Federal Communications Commission

for such consideration as the communication herewith submitted may warrent, and <u>for a report</u> thereon, <u>in duplicate</u> to accompany <u>return of enclosure</u>.

Ulasca mobile to Katao Chimomana at mir atatt

By direction of

DON NICKLES U.S. Senator

Please reply to Ratie Gumerson of my staff.

THE CITY OF YIKON

532 WEST MAIN . P. O. BOX 850500 . YUKON, OKLAHOMA 73085

November 4, 1997

Senator Don Nickles
United States Senate

122 Variety Senate
Washington, DC 20510

Dear Senator Nickles:

principles of Federalism. The Hither amentus commune have a viva We are writing you about the Federal Communications Commission and its attempts to prompt local zoning of cellular, radio and TV towers by making the FCC the "Federal Zoning Commission" for all cellular telephone and broadcast towers. Both Congress and the courts have long recognized that zoning is a peculiarly local function. Please immediately contact the FCC and tell it to stop these efforts which violate the intent of Congress, the Constitution and

zoning authority in three different rule makings. In the 1996 Telecommunications Act, Congress expressly reaffirmed local zoning authority over cellular towers. It told the FCC to stop all rule makings where the FCC was attempting to become a Federal Zoning Commission for such towers. Despite this instruction from Congress, the FCC is now attempting to preempt local

the FCC acts. by the stated reasons given by a municipality and doesn't even need to wait until a local planning decision is final before Callular Towars - Radiation: Congress expressly preserved local zoning authority over callular towers in the 1996 Telecommunications Act with the sole exception that municipalities cannot regulate the radiation from cellular antennas if it is within limits set by the FCC. The FCC is attempting to have the "exception swallow the rule" by using the limits of the property of the property of the property of the property of the decision in the U.S. which it finds is "tainted" by radiation concerns, even if the decision is otherwise perfectly permissible. In fact, the FCC is saying that it can "second guess" what the true reasons for a municipality's decision are, need not be bound the FCC.

that this is sufficient besis for a cellmlar zoning decision to immediately be taken over by the FCC and potentially reversed, even if the municipality expressly says it is not committeing such statements and the decision is completely valid on other grounds, such that the things of the tower on property values or assurement. mentioning their concerns in a public hearing. In its rule making the FCC is saying that if any citizen raises this issue Some of our citizens are concerned about the radiation from cellular towers. We cannot prevent them from

Cellular Towers - Morening: Relatedly the FCC is proposing a rule beaming the moratoria that some municipalities impose on cellular towers while they revise their zoning ordinances to accommodate the increase in the numbers of these towers. Again, this violates the Constitution and the directive from Congress preventing the FCC from becoming a Federal Zoning Commission

overridden by the FCC! And all appeals of zoning and permit denials would go to the FCC, not to the local courts Radio/IV Towns: The FCC's proposed rule on radio and TV towers is as bad: It sets an artificial limit of 21 to 45 days for municipalities to act on any local permit (environmental, building permit, zoning or other). Any permit to 45 days for municipalities to act on any local permit (environmental, building permit, zoning or other). incomplete or clearly violates local law. And the FCC's proposed rule would prevent musicipalities from considering the impacts such towers have on property values, the environment or aesthetics. Even safety requirements could be setinally deemed arouted if the commissionality describ act in this timeframe, even if the application is

This proposal is astounding when broadcast towers are some of the tallest structures known to man - over

2,000 feet tall, taller than the Empire State Building. The FCC claims these changes are needed to allow TV stations to switch to Teleprision quickly. But The Wall Street Journal and trade magazines state there is no way the FCC and broadcasters will meet the current schedule anyway, 3d Militaria and their residents just to meet an artificial deadline.

The actions represent a power grab by the FCC to become the Federal Zoning Commission for cellular towers and broadcast towers. They violate the intent of Congress, the Constitution and principles of Federalism. This is particularly true given that the FCC is a single purpose agency, with no zoning expertise, that never saw a tower it didn't like.

Please do three things to stop the FCC: First, write new FCC Chairman William Kennard and FCC Commissioners Susan Ness, Harold Furthingon-Roll, March Downell, and Gloria Tristani telling them to stop this intrusion on local zoning authority in cases WT 97-197, MM Docket 97-182 and DA 96-2140; second, join in the "Dear Colleague Letter" currently being prepared to go to the FCC from many members of Congress; and third, oppose any effort by Congress to grant the FCC the power to act as a "Federal Zoning Commission" and preempt local zoning authority.

The following people at national municipal organizations are familiar with the FCC's proposed rules and municipalities' objections to them: Barrie Tabin at the National League of Cities, 202-626-3194; Eileen Huggard at the National Association of Telecommunications Officers and Advisors, 703-506-3275; Robert Fogel at the National Association of Counties, 202-293-6226; Kevin McCarty at the U.S. Conference of Mayors, 202-293-7330; and Cheryl Maynard at the American Planning Association, 202-872-0611. Fell tree to call them: 15 year horse questions.

Very truly yours,

David Chesher

Community Enhancement Director

The state of the s